

(A)

MAY 06 2008

RECEIVED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
MAY - 6 2008 For the NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
ILLINOIS, EASTERN
Divis. 08CV2722

MICHAEL W. DOBBINS
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Glenon Brown

VS

JUDGE CASTILLO
MAG. JUDGE COX

CITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT
a INSTRUMENT OF THE CITY OF CHICAGO,
UNKNOWN SGT, UNKNOWN PEACE OFFICER,
UNKNOWN PEACE OFFICER Both in their
individual capacity & official capacity
Both Violated color of state law, Also Sgt
Violated color of state law.

42 U.S.C. § 1981, § 1983, § 1985(3)
§ 1986, § 1988

ON THE DATE OF 8, 19, 2007 THE PLAINTIFF
WAS AT THE LOCATION 8814 S. HUSTON
LOCATED IN CHICAGO ILLINOIS, 60617. PLAINTIFF
STATES THE COURT HAS JURISDICTION PURSUANT
TO 28 U.S.C. § 1331, OVER THE SUBJECT MATTER,
AND OVER THE PERSONS, DUE THE FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL
RIGHTS, WHERE VIOLATED IN THE NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF ILLINOIS, EASTERN DIVISION.

THE PLAINTIFF PLEAS THE JURISDICTION UNDER
42 U.S.C. § 1983 C.I.V. RIGHTS ACT, THE
PLAINTIFF STATES THE UNKNOWN SGT & TWO
PEACE OFFICERS WHO ARE UNKNOWN TO THE

Plaintiff due to the officers not giving the Plaintiff a copy of the standard "Affidavit of Complaint" due to this procedural Due process Violation, Plaintiff pleads his Fourth Amendment Violations, Fourteenth Amendment Violations, Sixth Amendment Violation, 1st First Amendment Violation, which 42 U.S.C. § 1983 Civil Right Action grants Relief for such Violations in the Federal Forum, Plaintiff pleads for Federal equitable injunction Relief pursuant to YOUNGER VS HARRIS, 401 U.S. 37, 91 S.Ct. 746 276 Ed. 2d 669 (1971); Plaintiff states, Due to the fourth(4th) so long as no extraordinary circumstances - like bias or Harassment - exist which auger against abstention. Middlesex County Ethic's Comm US Garden State Bar ASS'N, 457 U.S. 423, 429. Plaintiff states the police officers to Sgt of the Chicago police Department didn't have probable - cause nor did they have a warrant for the Plaintiff arrest, and the criminal charge where started in Bad-Faith for the purpose of harassment, & Due to the FEDERAL CONSTITUTIONAL Violation Equitable INJUNCTION RELIEF.

THE Plaintiff STATES 42 U.S.C. 1981 is a Remedy, which Plaintiff seeks as the Federal Forum has Subject MATTER Jurisdiction, and Jurisdiction over the PERSON, Plaintiff STATES, due to the

PEACE OFFICERS & Sgt Coming to the Petitioner Resident & Violating his Rights Under the common law Trust passing statute, 42 U.S.C. A 1981 Applies the officer denied to Plaintiff the Rights, which is he would have been a white American citizens he would have been wrote a ticket, and informed to come to court, but do to his race AS AN AFRICAN AMERICAN HE WAS DENYED EQUAL Protection of the law, pursuant to 14th Amendment Right & was discriminated against, Plaintiff States the Defendants Actions were Random & Unauthorized conducted, because they didn't have probable-cause to ARREST the Plaintiff, Nor was their a WARRANT out for the Plaintiff arrest, by these Unlawful Actions of the Defendants Plaintiff as Being charged, with cruelty to Animal, & the Statute for the state offense in language doesn't give the GENERAL Ammendable Authority, which the Defendants Acted in accordance with.

Plaintiff STATES the [S]TATE Forum doesn't GIVE ANY REMEDY, FOR SUCH UNCONSTITUTIONAL STATUTE AND do this this Plaintiff SEEK FEDERAL INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, AND SEEK For the Forum to grant the FEDERAL Court to INTERVEN.

Plaintiff STATES 42 U.S.C. 1985(3) the Plaintiff state he states, a Conspiracy, and the defendants deprived the plaintiff equal privilege, which the United States Constitution guarantees to their citizen the right to freedom from unwarranted ARREST, and the Defendant having knowledge of Suits filed against the City of Chicago, City of Chicago Police Department, and Mayor Daley Jr. Plaintiff States he has Being injured by the ARREST, which was because of Suit, which he filed with the Federal court in the past, the Plaintiff States the constitution protects Against such Judicial ABUSE of discretion at the hands of zealous police OFFICER who Abuse their Authority.

Plaintiff STATES the 4231986 is A preQuisit of the 31985(3), because of neglect to Stop the Conspiracy, the Police officers who are UNKNOWN to the Plaintiff had the power to correct the Sgt Violation of the citizens Rights, but do to their neglect, they Allowed the Sgt to violate the Plaintiff Right to write a false - Report which Allow the plaintiff to be bring before the State Forum on charges, which were False and untrue, the liability is shown in the Plaintiff Complaint.

Plaintiff States he is Required to be Awarded Attorney Fees in a Suit when their a numerous Amount of Time is investigation, which is done which Conflicts with the Plaintiff daily Ability to Work, and Numerous Hospital charges, which has lead up to the Plaintiff coming to the Elgin MENTAL Health CENTER, which damages For MENTAL ANGUSHISH has Adstened From do to the Harassment of the Municipality Agents. SEE: 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

(1) ON THE DATE OF 8-19-08 I Glenn Brown WAS AT THE LOCATION OF 8814 S. Huston AVE, Chicago, Illinois 60617 the Above Unknown OFFICERS & Sgt come to my house, and KNOCK ON MY door AT MY Resident, AND I CAME to the Door OPEN UP THE door, AND THE UNKNOWN Defendants, ASK About the Dog tide to the TREE IN THE RAIN, AND I ADVISE them the dog WAS ON A 55 FEET long, And the Dog Could RUN For COVER, the OFFICER & Sgt became MAD, and ASK For Identification, Plaintiff INFORM the police KNOW ONE call them & why WAS they their, because their WAS NO Reason for the To Be their the Unknown OFFICER reach into the

(2) Plaintiff Resident Is Said "he" was going to Jail for cruelty to Amimials, and, due to the Plaintiff being at his Resident the officer had No probable-cause to Seize the Plaintiff, Nor WAS THERE A WARRANT.

(3) PLAINTIFF STATES Their WAS NO CALL to the dispatch Records, which the police OFFICERS STATES others individuals from the COMMUNITY CALL into STATE A Report of AMIMINAL CRUELTY.

(4) THE PLAINTIFF STATES WHEN GOING BEFORE the STATE Forum the JUDICIAL OFFICIALS, PROSECUTOR, AND JUDGE WAS BIAS, WHEN PLAINTIFF invoked HIS Sixth AMENDMENT RIGHT to PROCEED PRO SE.

(5) PLAINTIFF WAS Appointed AN ASSISTS OF COUNSEL, AND COUNSEL FAILED to FAIL the ~~proper~~ Motion, and the Courts on its own Motion ordered a Mental EVALUTION, and the Plaintiff was found unfit.

(6) THE PLAINTIFF CAME TO ELGIN MENTAL HEALTH CENTER & WAS denied the RIGHT to file, such documents of a Writ of HABEAS CORPUS, by due to

(7) A Writ Writter LARRY maurice, Banks, inc the plaintiff filed A Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 on 4-20-08, and the Petition is Before the federal forum.

(8) Plaintiff states he want Plea the case of "State Actor's" sending the Plaintiff To Elgin Mental Health center, because of the facility lacking a constitutional acceptable Constitution law library, so Plaintiff filed A Motion to Interven as a Damage party Filed 4-27-08 in BANKS inc, ET, AL VS Elgin Health center, ET, AL case No: 07cv5654.

(9) PLAINTIFF CITES THE DEFENDANTS HAVE VIOLATED NUMBEROUS FEDERAL STATUTES UNDER 42 U.S.C § 1983, § 1985(3), § 1986, § 1988, 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

Grounds for Relief

(10) PLAINTIFF SEEK Nominal Damages one Million Dollars 1,000,000.00
Compensatory Damages 1,000,000.00
PUNITITIVE DAMAGES 1,000,000.00
LOSS OF INJOYMENT OF LIFE 50,000.00
MENTAL ANGISH 50,000.00
ATTORNEY FEES 50,000.00
TOTAL 1,005,000.00 ONE MILLION
ONE hundred & FIFTY Thousand Dollars

Date

Respectfully Submitted

(A)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
ILLINOIS, EASTERN DIVISION

Glennd Brown VS City of Chicago, ET AL

FILED

MAY - 6 2008

08CV2722

JUDGE CASTILLO
MAG. JUDGE COX

MICHAEL W. DOBBINS
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

NOTICE OF FILING

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT THE ABOVE
REFERENCE DOCUMENTS HAVE BEEN
SENT TO THE "CLERK" OF THE UNITED
STATE DISTRICT COURT AT 219 S. DEARBORN
CHICAGO, IL 60604 FROM 150 S. STATE
STREET, ELGIN, ILLINOIS 60123 U.S. POSTAL
MAIL.

Date
4-30-08

Respectfully Submitted
Glennd Brown
O.C.P

ADDRESS Glennd Brown F-86 unit
750 S. State Street
Elgin, Illinois
60123

MAY 06 2008

RECEIVEDUNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
For the NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
ILLINOIS, EASTERNMICHAEL W. DOBBINS
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

08CV2722

Glenun Brown
VSJUDGE CASTILLO
MAG. JUDGE COX

CITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO POLICE DEPARTMENT
an INSTRUMENT of the city of CHICAGO,
UNKNOWN Sgt, UNKNOWN PEACE OFFICER,
UNKNOWN PEACE OFFICER. Both in their
individual capacity & official capacity
Both Violated color of state law, Also Sgt
Violated color of state law.

42 U.S.C. § 1981, § 1983, § 1985(3)
§ 1986, § 1988

ON THE DATE OF 8, 19, 2007 the Plaintiff
WAS at the location 8814 S. Huston
located in Chicago Illinois, 60617. Plaintiff
states the Court has Jurisdiction pursuant
to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, over the Subject MATTER,
and over the person, due the Federal Constitutional
Rights, where violated in the NORTHERN DISTRICT
OF ILLINOIS, EASTERN DIVISION.

The Plaintiff PLEAS the Jurisdiction under
42 U.S.C. § 1983 Civil Rights Act, the
Plaintiff states the UNKNOWN Sgt & two
Peace officer who are UNKNOWN to the

Plaintiff due to the officers not giving the Plaintiff a copy of the standard "Affidavit of Complaint" due to this procedural Due process violation, Plaintiff pleads his Fourth Amendment Violations, Fourteenth Amendment Violations, Sixth Amendment Violation, 1st First Amendment Violation, which 42 U.S.C. § 1983 Civil Right Action grants relief for such violations in the Federal Forum, Plaintiff pleads for Federal equitable injunction relief pursuant to YOUNGER VS HARRIS, 401 U.S. 73, 91 S.Ct. 746 276 Ed. 2d 669 (1971); Plaintiff states, due to the fourth (4th) so long as no extraordinary circumstances - like bias- or harassment- exist which auger against abstention. Middlesex County Ethics Comm US Garden State Bar Ass'n, 457 U.S. 423, 429. Plaintiff states the police officers - to Sgt of the Chicago police Department didn't have probable - cause nor did they have a warrant for the Plaintiff arrest, and the criminal charge where started in bad-faith for the purpose of harassment, & due to the Federal Constitutional Violation Equitable injunction relief.

THE Plaintiff states 42 U.S.C. 1981 is a remedy, which Plaintiff seeks as the Federal Forum has subject matter jurisdiction, and jurisdiction over the person. Plaintiff states, due to the

PEACE OFFICERS & Sgt Coming to the Petitioner Resident & Violating his Rights Under the common law Trust passing Statute, 42 U.S.C. A § 1981 Applies the officer denied to Plaintiff the Rights, which if he would have been a white American citizen he would have been wrote a ticket, and informed to come to court, but do to his race AS AN AFRICAN AMERICAN HE WAS DENIED EQUAL Protections of the Law, pursuant to 14th Amendment Right & was discriminated against, Plaintiff States the Defendants Actions were Random & Unauthorized conducted, because they didn't have probable-cause to ARREST the Plaintiff, Nor was their a warrant out for the Plaintiff arrest, by these Unlawful Actions of the Defendants Plaintiff as Being charged, with cruelty to Animal, & the Statute for the state offense in language doesn't give the GENERAL Ammessoable Authority, which the Defendants Acted in accordance with.

Plaintiff STATES the [§]tate Forum doesn't GIVE ANY REMEDY, for such UNCONSTITUTIONAL Statute AND do this this Plaintiff SEEK FEDERAL INJUNCTIVE RELIEF, AND SEEK For the Forum to grant the FEDERAL Court to INTERVEN.

Plaintiff STATES 42 U.S.C. 1985(3) the Plaintiff state he states, a Conspiracy, and the defendants deprived the plaintiff equal privilege, which the United States Constitution guarantees to their citizens the right to freedom from unwarranted ARREST, and the Defendant having knowledge of suits filed against the city of Chicago, City of Chicago Police Department, and Mayor Daley Jr. Plaintiff states he has been injured by the ARREST, which was because of suit, which he filed with the Federal court in the past, the Plaintiff states the constitution protects against such judicial abuse of discretion at the hands of zealous police OFFICER who abuse their Authority.

Plaintiff states the 42 & 1986 is a prequish of the § 1985(3), because of neglect to stop the Conspiracy, the Police officers who are UNKNOWN to the Plaintiff had the power to correct the Sgt Violation of the citizens rights, but do to their neglect, they allowed the Sgt to violate the Plaintiff right to write a false - Report which allow the Plaintiff to be bring before the state forum on charges, which were False and untrue, the liability is shown in the Plaintiff complaint.

Plaintiff states he is required to be awarded Attorney Fees in a suit when their a numerous amount of time is investigation, which is done which conflicts with the Plaintiff daily ability to work, and numerous hospital charges, which has lead up to the Plaintiff coming to the Elgin MENTAL Health CENTER, which damages for MENTAL ANGUISH has Adstened from do to the Harassment of the Municipality Agents. See: 42 U.S.C. § 1988.

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

(1) ON THE DATE OF 8-19-08 I (Glenn Brown) WAS AT THE LOCATION OF 8814 S. Huston AVE, Chicago, Illinois 60617 the above unknown OFFICERS & Sgt come to my house, and Knock on MY door At MY Residenit, AND I CAME to the door open up the door, AND THE UNKNOWN Defendants, ASK About the Dog tide to the TREE IN THE RAIN, AND I ADVISE them the dog WAS on A 55 Feet long, And the Dog Could run For cover, the OFFICER & Sgt became MAD, and ASK For I dentification, Plaintiff iNform the police KNOW ONE call them & why WAS they their, because their WAS NO Reason For the To Be their the unknown OFFICER reach into the

(2) Plaintiff Resident Is Said "he" was going to Jail for cruelty to Amimials, and, due to the Plaintiff being at his Resident the officer had NO probable cause to Seize the Plaintiff, Nor WAS THERE A WARRANT.

(3) PLAINTIFF STATES Their WAS NO CALL to the dispatch Records, which the police officers STATES others individuals from the community CALL into STATE A Report of AMIMINAL CRLIELTY.

(4) THE PLAINTIFF STATES WHEN GOING BEFORE THE STATE FORUM the JUDICIAL OFFICIALS, PROSECUTOR, AND JUDGE WAS BIAS, WHEN PLAINTIFF INVOKED HIS SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO PROCEED PRO SE.

(5) PLAINTIFF WAS Appointed AN ASSISTS OF COUNSEL, AND COUNSEL FAIL TO FAIL THE PROPER Motion, and the Courts on its own Motion ordered a Mental Evaluation, and the Plaintiff was found unfit.

(6) THE PLAINTIFF CAME TO ELGIN MENTAL HEALTH CENTER IS WAS denied the RIGHT to file, such Documents of a Writ of Habeas Corpus, by due to

(7) A Writ Writter Larry Maurice, Banks, inc the plaintiff filed A Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241 on 4-20-08, and the Petition is Before the Federal forum.

(8) Plaintiff states he want Plea the case of "State Actor's" sending the Plaintiff To Elgin Mental Health center, because of the facility lacking a constitutional acceptable constitutional law library, so Plaintiff filed A Motion to intervene as a Damage party Filed 4-27-08 in BANKS INC, ET, AL VS ELGIN Health center, ET, AL case No: 07cv5654.

(9) PLAINTIFF CITES the DEFENDANTS have Violated Numerous Federal Statutes UNDER 42 U.S.C § 1983 § 1985 (3), § 1986, § 1988, 42 U.S.C. § 1981.

Grounds for Relief

(10) PLAINTIFF SEEK Nominal Damages one Million Dollars 1,000,000.00 & Compensatory Damages 1,000,000.00 Punitive Damages 1,000,000.00 Loss of INJOymenT of LIFE 50,000.00 MENTAL ANGISH 50,000.00 ATTORNEY FEES 50,000.00 Total 1,005,000.00 ONE Million ONE hundred & FIFTY Thousand Dollars

Date

Respectfully Submitted